



FY21 Unified Budget & Legislative Agenda

New Mexico Judicial Branch

“To no one will we sell, to no one deny or delay right or justice.”

– Magna Carta, Clause 40

Table of Contents

3	A Letter from Chief Justice Nakamura
4	The Supreme Court Justices & AOC Director
5	Chief Judges Council & Budget Committee Members
6	The Campaign for Judicial Excellence
8	The Judicial Branch's General Fund Appropriations
9	Unified Budget Summary
10	New Judgeships
11	Court Security Initiative
13	Workforce Investment – Judicial Staff
14	Workforce Investment – Justices and Judges Salaries
16	Legislative Proposals for 2020 Session
17	AOC FY19 Deficiencies, FY20 Supplemental and FY21 Special Requests
20	Judicial Branch Fiscal Year 2021 – Unified Budget Request Summary
22	Administrative Office of the Courts FY21– Detailed Budget Request
24	Consolidation of Magistrate Courts within District Court Administration
26	Notes
27	New Mexico State Courts Map

A Letter from Chief Justice Nakamura

Dear Governor Lujan Grisham and Members of the Legislature,

In the spring of 2018, members of the Judiciary's Chief Judges Council and Court Executive Officers Council met to develop a three-year (FY20-FY22) plan for the New Mexico Judiciary. Armed with the results from national surveys conducted by the National Center for State Courts, as well as with decades of individual and collective experience, court leaders set out to address the public's concerns that our courts are: too slow, too complicated, inefficient, and not innovative.

To meet these concerns, the New Mexico Judiciary embarked on a Campaign for Judicial Excellence. Unlike traditional strategic planning, the Campaign for Judicial Excellence is a flexible and open-ended approach to strategic change that mobilizes stakeholders around three key initiatives:

- Simplify our organizational structure and streamline case processing;
- Expand technology to better serve the public; and
- Make it easier for the public to access and understand court processes and programs.

With your support and assistance, we have made considerable progress under each of these initiatives and I would like to highlight just a few of our accomplishments.

First, effective July 2019 we simplified our organizational structure by consolidating the administrative functions of all forty-seven of our magistrate courts into local district courts. This allowed the Judiciary to streamline administrative functions, expand services, and better serve the public. Second, we have made online dispute resolution (ODR) available statewide to parties in debt and money due cases and are developing a pilot program to test the use of ODR in traffic cases. Third, the Court has recently adopted the recommendations of the "Ad Hoc Licensed Legal Technicians Workgroup" to address the access to justice gap, including the recommendation to pilot the use of Court Navigators to assist self-represented litigants. Court Navigators are appropriately trained and supervised volunteers who, without providing legal advice, provide



one-on-one assistance to self-represented litigants.

Finally, last year's funding for judicial education has allowed us to right-size the Judicial Education Center, offer online courses, and provide training this spring for municipal, magistrate, and district court judges on how to respond to individuals with behavioral health needs in our courtrooms. Additional information about our Campaign for Judicial Excellence and our significant

accomplishments can be found at pages six and seven of this budget book.

Our FY21 statewide budget priorities further advance judicial excellence by allowing courts to more efficiently handle their workloads and securing our courthouses for the thousands of people who visit them each day. As part of our effort to improve and streamline case processing, the National Center for State Courts (NCSC) conducted a workload study of our district, metropolitan, and magistrate courts. The study revealed a need for 17 judges to handle the increasingly complex and demanding workload of district courts across New Mexico. Our FY21 budget includes a request for five new judges in districts that currently have both a need and the physical space to accommodate new judgeships.

Our budget also requests funding for security improvements, primarily for our forty-seven magistrate court locations across the state. This funding will allow us to continue to implement the recommendations of a 2018 security assessment conducted by the NCSC and help keep our courthouses safe for the public, court staff, and judges.

On behalf of the Supreme Court and our colleagues throughout the Judicial Branch, I want to acknowledge and express our appreciation for your support. We look forward to working with you as we continue our campaign to enhance judicial excellence and better serve all New Mexicans.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Justice Nakamura", with a long, sweeping underline.

New Mexico Supreme Court 2019



From left to right: Justice C. Shannon Bacon, Senior Justice Barbara J. Vigil, Chief Justice Judith K. Nakamura, Justice Michael E. Vigil and Justice David W. Thomson.

Administrative Office of the Courts



Arthur W. Pepin, Director

Chief Judges Council

SC Chief Justice Judith K. Nakamura
New Mexico Supreme Court

1st Chief Judge Mary L. Marlowe Sommer
First Judicial District Court

3rd Chief Judge Manuel I. Arrieta
Third Judicial District Court

5th Chief Judge James Hudson
Fifth Judicial District Court

7th Chief Judge Matthew Reynolds
Seventh Judicial District Court

9th Chief Judge Drew D. Tatum
Ninth Judicial District Court

11th Chief Judge Karen L. Townsend
Eleventh Judicial District Court

13th Chief Judge George P. Eichwald
Thirteenth Judicial District Court

COA Chief Judge Miles Hanisee
Court of Appeals

2nd Chief Judge Stanley Whitaker
Second Judicial District Court

4th Chief Judge Gerald E. Baca
Fourth Judicial District Court

6th Chief Judge Jennifer DeLaney
Sixth Judicial District Court

8th Chief Judge Emilio Chavez
Eighth Judicial District Court

10th Chief Judge Albert J. Mitchell, Jr.
Tenth Judicial District Court

12th Chief Judge Angie K. Schneider
Twelfth Judicial District Court

Magistrate Honorable Pat Casados
Los Alamos County Magistrate Court

Metropolitan Chief Judge Sandra W. Engel
Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court

District & Metropolitan Honorable Nancy Franchini
District and Metropolitan Judges Association

Budget Committee

Judge Linda M. Vanzi
Chair, Court of Appeals

Chief Judge Sandra W. Engel
Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court

Honorable Donna J. Mowrer
Ninth Judicial District Court

Chief Judge Karen L. Townsend
Chief District Judge, Eleventh Judicial District Court

Chief Judge Stanley Whitaker
Second Judicial District Court

Honorable Francis Mathew
First Judicial District Court

Chief Judge Gerald Baca
Chief District Judge, Fourth Judicial District Court

Honorable John Chavez
Magistrate Judge, Thirteenth Judicial District Court

Katina Watson
Twelfth Judicial District Court Executive Officer

The Campaign for Judicial Excellence: Significant Accomplishments

In 2018 the Judiciary adopted the Campaign for Judicial Excellence to guide budget and program priorities through Fiscal Year 2021. The Campaign includes three initiatives:

1. **Simplify Organizational Structure and Streamline Case Processing**
2. **Expand Technology to Better Serve the Public**
3. **Make Court Processes and Programs Easier to Access and Understand**

Funding requests for Fiscal Year 2021 reflect base budget funding and the Judicial Branch's priorities for Advancing Judicial Excellence - statewide initiatives to maximize internal efficiencies and improve programs and services for the public. Simplifying organizational structure, expanding technology and making court processes and programs easier to access and understand have been a major focus of the Judiciary's endeavors in 2019.

Significant Accomplishments

Simplifying Organizational Structure & Streamline Case Processing

Court Consolidation - District courts now oversee administration of magistrate courts statewide. The consolidation greatly streamlines management of court operations and promotes efficiencies by cross-training staff, reducing the time to fill vacant positions, and improving internal communication. The consolidation was completed on July 1, 2019. The AOC previously oversaw the 47 magistrate courts in 32 counties. For more information see page 24.

Central Citation Entry - A successful pilot program in the Fourth Judicial District (San Miguel, Mora, and Guadalupe Counties) to centralize the entry of traffic citations into the courts' case management system, Odyssey, has been expanded into several additional districts, with a target to implement all courts by the end of 2020. Centralizing this type of routine data entry has reduced errors and permits court staff to focus on customer service and more complex case

management tasks. At the same time, State Police citations are now filed electronically in courts statewide, reducing time needed to issue citations and reducing errors in data entry at courts. With several county sheriff departments also filing E-citations, approximately 65% of all citations are E-filed. The AOC is working to have remaining police agencies implement E-citations.

Expanding Technology to Serve the Public

Online Dispute Resolution - Being able to negotiate and resolve debt and money due cases online became a reality with Online Dispute Resolution. The free service saves time and money by eliminating the need to travel to a courthouse for hearings. A settlement through ODR may help reduce harm to a party's credit rating and prevent wage garnishments or other debt collection procedures. Neutral, online mediators can assist during the settlement negotiations.

Electronic Filing in Criminal Cases - New Mexico is the first Odyssey-integrated state to implement e-filing of documents in criminal cases. E-filing is a game-changer for courts and justice partners. Clerks no longer must scan paper documents to create digital records, saving time and reducing errors. After a case has been initiated, prosecutors and defense attorneys submit subsequent documents through the e-filing system and serve the opposing party electronically.

Making Court Processes and Programs Easier to Access and Understand

Customer Service Call Center - The AOC began operating a centralized call center (855-court-4 or 855-268-7804; English and Spanish) for callers to get basic information about court services, cases, and how to comply with citations, summonses, and warrants. The service is available during regular court hours, with plans to expand it to weekends and after business hours by the end of FY21.

The Campaign for Judicial Excellence: Significant Accomplishments

Language Access Services developed Clara, a multilingual, interactive avatar who speaks Navajo, English and Spanish. Clara answers questions and helps people find information online and at court kiosks.

Access to Justice - The Commission on Access to Justice developed and completed a strategic action plan that calls for developing plain language forms, creating a robust online portal to provide information to the public, and establishing self-help centers in courts that do not have them.

Advancing Judicial Excellence – Looking Ahead

Improving Public Access to Courts/Outreach

- Look for NM Courts on Twitter soon! The Supreme Court approved a policy to govern social media use by state courts. Social media platforms offer an opportunity to demystify the judicial process and ensure the public has an accurate and better understanding of courts.
- New Mexico courts had a major presence at the New Mexico State Fair for the first time in 2019, raising public awareness of court services and programs outside a courthouse setting.

Innovation Team – Established in 2018 to drive innovation in the courts into practice, the “I Team” has put exciting initiatives into motion.

- The I Team is working with colleges and the State Bar to further study **Limited Legal Technicians (LLTs)** to provide one-on-one assistance to self-represented litigants at a lower cost than an attorney.
- In addition to overseeing ODR for debt cases, the I Team is developing a pilot for **online resolution of traffic citations** that will give drivers the option to resolve citations without going to court.
- Establishing training for a new **Court Navigator** program to personally assist people conducting business at the courts. Navigators can explain how a court hearing is conducted, and explain complicated terms and court forms. In addition, volunteer **Court Ambassadors** are being recruited to help visitors find the right office or courtroom.

- The I Team is working with the State Bar to develop a **Rural Law Opportunity Program, including Uniform Bar Examination (UBE) Transfer**, to recruit qualified attorneys to rural New Mexico and create incentives for attorneys to establish and maintain a law practice in rural communities.

Zero Intercept Team – The “Z Team”, created in 2019, focuses on integrating mental health services in courts and communities. Named for the “zero intercept,” which is the earliest and often most effective interaction between individuals and the behavioral health system, the Z Team’s goal is to get services to individuals at the most effective time, whether before or during involvement with the civil and criminal justice system.


- The Z Team obtained grants and worked with the Behavioral Health Services Division (BHSD) of the Human Service Department and others to schedule **training on behavioral health issues in the courtroom for every state judge** and many municipal judges in March 2020.
- Using grant funds identified by BHSD, the Z Team has also scheduled in April 2020, a **Mental Health Summit** at which teams of judges, behavioral health care providers, and justice agency partners from every county will attend a two-day training by national experts on best practices for interacting with and assisting those with behavioral health issues in and out of the court system. Teams will create plans to improve delivery of behavioral health services in their courts and communities

More projects are underway to Advance Judicial Excellence: “dataXchange,” a project for data sharing among law enforcement, prosecutors, public defenders and other criminal justice partners; expanding pretrial services to more courts; online videos for self-represented litigants navigating divorce; a “business court” to focus on the most complex civil cases; and courthouse improvements to provide safe, modern courthouses for the people of New Mexico.

Judicial Branch's General Fund Appropriations


The Judicial Branch's total funding request for Fiscal Year 2021 is \$199,256,200. Historically, the Legislature has allocated roughly 2.6% of the state's budget to the Judiciary.

Judiciary General Funds as a Percentage of Total State General Fund Appropriations, FY10-FY21



With the state projected to have nearly \$800 million in “new money” available for FY 2021, maintaining the Judiciary’s historic level of funding should not be an issue.

In fact, if only \$500 million of the new revenue is appropriated to state entities for recurring expenditures in FY21, the Judicial Branch’s budget request for FY21 would be just 2.63 % of total state general fund appropriations.



Unified Budget Summary

Base Budget Funding & Workforce Investment Program

\$16,169,200 Total Increase (+8.83%)

Judicial Branch Fiscal Year 2021 Budget Priorities

- **Base budget funding** - necessary to adequately operate existing programs, fill authorized positions, and reduce vacancy rates to reasonable levels.
- **Statewide initiatives** - Advancing Judicial Excellence to improve efficient use of existing resources and initiate evidence-based practices to enhance services in criminal and civil cases. Primary initiatives to improve Judicial Excellence in FY21 include new judgeships and improved court security.

Requested General Fund Increases

Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, Statewide Entities	\$429,500
District (includes Magistrate) Courts	\$8,838,300
Operational costs for existing programs	\$2,566,800
Expansion FTEs and new programs	\$2,205,600
Security	\$2,216,300
Insurance and other rate increases	\$226,900
WIP maintenance	\$89,400
5 New District judgeships	\$1,533,300
Metropolitan Court	\$973,600
Operational costs for existing programs	\$442,500
Security	\$507,000
WIP maintenance	\$24,100
AOC Administrative Services and Court Operations Division	\$1,845,500
Operational costs for existing programs	\$1,361,100
Expansion FTEs and new programs	\$461,800
Insurance and other rate increases	\$17,100
WIP maintenance	\$5,500
Judicial Information Division	\$1,931,700
Operational costs for existing programs	\$1,718,000
Expansion FTEs and new programs	\$200,000
Insurance and other rate increases	\$13,700
Court Services Division	\$2,150,600
Operational costs for existing programs	\$2,144,300
Insurance and other rate increases	\$6,300

NEW JUDGESHIPS \$1,533,300



District courts need more judges for an increasingly complex and demanding workload. A study by the National Center for State Courts shows a statewide deficit of 16.9 judges. For FY21, the courts seek five (5) new judges in four districts to effectively provide justice in all cases, particularly civil cases.

First Judicial District – Santa Fe/Rio Arriba/ Los Alamos Counties: \$329,200

- 16% increase in hearings since FY15
- As the seat of state government, judges hear administrative appeals and agency litigation. Records in these cases often exceed 10,000 pages, such as PRC rate cases and school litigation.
- Court has added one new judge since FY10.
- The district also has more than 1,100 pending complex civil cases in which multiple parties and complicated legal issues require a high number of motions hearings and lengthy written opinions.
- Judges in Santa Fe travel 180 miles round trip for Rio Arriba cases.
- **Workload Study need = 1.5 new judges**
 - **FY21 Request = 1 new judge**

Second Judicial District – Bernalillo County – Two Judges: \$703,200

- Largest caseload in the state and only county operating two district courthouses
- Revolutionary reform in criminal case processing - assigned 4 judges to eliminate 4,000-case backlog in 15 months; conforms to national best practice standards.

- Increased responsibilities in guardianships, mortgage foreclosures, Indian Child Welfare Act cases, as well as 13 new and expanded treatment courts and diversion programs.
- Court has not added a new judge since FY08.
- **Workload Study need = 5.7 new judges**
 - **FY21 Request = 2 new judges**

Third Judicial District – Doña Ana County: \$381,000

- Civil cases, up 9.8% from FY15 to FY18; criminal cases up 27.6%
- Court has not added a new judge since FY06.
- **Workload Study need = 2.4 new judges**
 - **FY21 Request = 1 new judge**

Twelfth Judicial District – Otero/Lincoln Counties: \$120,900

- 10% increase in pleadings and other docketed events since FY15.
- Court will convert a hearing officer to judge reducing costs of the proposal.
- Highest number of jury trials per judge at 23
- Court has not added a new judge since FY95.
- **Workload Study need = 1.0 new judges (1.75 without hearing officer).**
 - **FY21 Request = 1 new judge**

COURT SECURITY INITIATIVE \$2,723,600

Thousands of New Mexicans conduct business in courthouses every day, and the emotional nature of some cases brings the possibility of violence. Courthouses also can become the target of violence because of the prominence of court buildings and the role of courts as the branch of government responsible for administering justice. Counties are required to provide security in district courts. Magistrate and Metropolitan Court security is a state responsibility. Each day many more New Mexicans appear in the state's 47 magistrate courts than in all other courts combined. Magistrate courts have the lowest level of security of all state courts.

The National Center for State Courts performed a security assessment in 2018, including site visits to district and magistrate courts. The AOC obtained a grant for the assessment, which found serious court security issues in New Mexico. The most serious and difficult issues are the insufficient numbers of security officers assigned to protect the courts and no weapon-screening stations at the entrances to magistrate courthouses.

Courts are implementing the report's recommendations within our abilities and resources. For FY21, the courts seek funding to implement more of the report's priority recommendations.

"In addition to there being no security officers assigned to magistrate courts, there are also no weapon-screening stations at the entrances to magistrate Courthouses. This poses a substantial risk to those who visit and work in magistrate Courthouses. On any given day, an armed attacker could have unfettered access to these Courthouses with no effective response to whatever havoc he may seek to wreak."

*- National Center for State Courts 2018
New Mexico Courts Security Assessment*

- \$507,000 for the Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court for increased costs to contract with the Albuquerque Police Department for security personnel in the state's busiest court.
- \$2,216,300 for courts statewide, mostly to provide security personnel at the 47 magistrate courts now managed by district courts in 32 counties.



Security at Bernalillo Metropolitan Court



13th Judicial District's Karl Reifsteck and Retired Chief Judge Louis McDonald



Chief Justice Judith Nakamura and First Judicial District Judge Glenn Ellington at the New Mexico State Fair



AOC Staff Celebrates the Holidays



Belen Magistrate Court Judge Chavez and Family at State Fair



4th Judicial District - Annabelle Lucero, Joanne Valdez, Helen Tafoya, Angela Martinez, John Guaderrama and Robert Duran

Workforce Investment: Judicial Staff

Stability in the workforce allows employees to build on training and develop experience in addressing a wide variety of challenges. With higher pay ranges and improved starting salaries, the Judicial Branch has been better able to attract and retain more qualified candidates.

The Judicial Branch's Workforce Investment Plan is designed to attract and retain a highly-qualified, motivated, and professional workforce by ensuring that the Judiciary is able to:

- **Attract and retain our judicial specialist job series, which makes up nearly 50% of our workforce;**
- **Reward employees' successful performance over time in a uniform, consistent, and statewide manner; and**
- **Continue to reward experience and provide performance incentives to our highest achieving employees.**


The Legislature supported this plan by funding the salary movement for our judicial specialist job series in 2017, and by authorizing pay increases for all staff during the 2018 and 2019 legislative sessions.

Declining Vacancy Rate

High vacancy rates diminish morale and strain the remaining workforce. This is especially true for clerks, who are vital to managing court cases and providing court services to the public.

By October 2017, the vacancy rate in magistrate courts had climbed to 17%, followed by sharp declines in May 2018 and again in May 2019, which can be attributed to the impact of pay increases after re-evaluating duties for Judicial Specialists, who represent almost 50% of the Judiciary workforce. Declining vacancy rates are evidence of a strong return on these investments.


The funding request to maintain the Workforce Investment Plan statewide is a modest \$119,000 for FY21. These funds are vital to maintaining career progression and workforce retention.



Workforce Investment – Justices and Judges Salaries

- During the 2018 and 2019 legislative sessions, the Legislature considered the Judicial Compensation Commission’s (JCC) recommendations and approved salary increases for judges in New Mexico that total 11%.
- This year, the JCC recommends that judicial salaries be increased 8%. This would bring the district court judges’ salaries to \$144,457.
- Between FY16 and FY19, caseloads statewide increased 2.4% for criminal cases and 5.7% in civil cases.
- Despite the significant support by the Legislature to improve judicial salaries, New Mexico judicial salaries remain among the lowest in the nation.
 - Supreme Court—ranks 48 out of 55
 - Court of Appeals— ranks 40 out of 43 (not all states have Courts of Appeals)
 - District Courts—rank 48 out of 55

States used in the comparison chart report below are the designated “Mountain West Region” states by the Hay Group, a consulting firm that compares salary compilation data used by the Judiciary and by public and private entities throughout the United States.



Compensation Differentials:

Judicial contributions to retirement are the fourth highest in the nation as a percent of salary.

Differential between Chief Justice and other justices was set at \$2,000 in 1993, when justice salary was \$77,250.

JCC recommends compensation differential be set at 5% so that differential for Chiefs is indexed to future salary increases.

Reverse Insolvency of Judicial Retirement Funds

Current projections provided by PERA show that the Judges Retirement Account will be insolvent by 2062 and the Magistrate Retirement Account will be insolvent in 2041. It is essential to address the projected insolvency. JCC recommends legislation to reverse insolvency of these funds, the details of which are in the JCC report:

1. Dedicate one-time investments needed to achieve 100% funding in JRA and MRA by 2043, a total of approximately \$38,000,000.
2. Dedicate the funding stream of \$2,400,000 from the out-of-state oil tax for 5 years.

Eliminate Fee Funding of Judicial Retirement Plans

Funding retirement with a flat fee is an unsound actuarial practice.

Fees could be deposited into the general fund, and the state would make an equivalent percentage distribution.

HB 58 in 2011 and HB 72 in 2012 addressed this issue. Both passed unanimously but were vetoed.

Workforce Investment – Justices and Judges Salaries

Low Salaries Decrease Diversity of Experience

Of 309 judicial applicants from 2010 to 2015:***

- 85% had experience as government lawyers.
- 44.7% had 10 or more years of experience as government lawyers.
- 17% were age 39 or younger (minimum age to apply is 35).

Judicial Nominating Commissions report that most judicial applicants are from government, especially the offices of the public defender and district attorneys.

- Of three applicants for two Court of Appeals vacancies in January 2018, the two who were sent to the Governor for appointment were currently working in government.
- Few applicants have experience in private practice including contracts, family law, business/corporate law, wills (probate), property disputes, and other civil cases.

Supreme Court Justice Pay Compared to Other Government

UNM Law School Dean	\$249,672
US District Court Judge	\$210,900
Santa Fe County Manager	\$198,750
Bernalillo County Attorney	\$170,000
Justice New Mexico Supreme Court	\$148,207

When adjusted for inflation, New Mexico district court judges rank 45 out of 51 nationwide.*

The JCC recommendation for FY21 increases a justice's pay to \$160,063, about 10% above the average lawyer salary (\$144,458) reported in the NM Bar salary survey for 2017.**

Few Apply and Fewer are Qualified***

During calendar year 2017 there were 6 vacancies with 37 applicants, of which 21 were found qualified by the Judicial Nominating Commission.

- 43% of applicants did not qualify for the judicial vacancy.
- In district courts, 52% of applicants did not qualify.

During calendar year 2018 there were 8 vacancies in district and appellate courts with 18 applicants, of which 12 were found qualified by the Judicial Nominating Commission.

- 33% of applicants did not qualify for the judicial vacancy.
- In district courts, 61% of applicants did not qualify.
- In 5 of the 8 vacancies (62.5%), there was only 1 qualified applicant.

* National Center for State Courts Survey of Judicial Salaries published January 2020. The survey only includes 51 courts in the inflation adjusted table.

** New Mexico State Bar, The Economics of Law Practice in New Mexico, Research Polling, Inc., May 2017.

*** Data provided by office of the UNM Law School Dean, who serves as Chair of each Judicial Nominating Commission.

Legislative Proposals for 2020 Session

New Judgeships in The First, Second, Third and Twelfth Judicial District Courts

Amend Section 34-6-4, -5, -6, and -15 to create new judgeships in three courts and replace a hearing officer with a judgeship in another court.

First Judicial District – Santa Fe, Rio Arriba, and Los Alamos Counties;
\$329,200

Second Judicial District – Bernalillo County, two new judgeships;
\$703,200

Third Judicial District – Dona Ana County; \$381,000

Twelfth Judicial District – Otero and Lincoln Counties, replace hearing officer with district judgeship; \$120,900

Remove Jury Service Affidavit Requirement

Amend Section 38-5-2 to provide that persons 75 and over may request an exemption from jury service without a notarized affidavit.

Section 38-5-2 provides that a person who is 75 or older who files an affidavit requesting an exemption for jury service shall be permanently exempt from jury service.

In FY18 approximately 9,497 persons age 75 or older asked to be permanently excused from jury duty.

The steps necessary to complete a notarized affidavit are time consuming, expensive, and burdensome to both the courts and the citizens summoned.

While most states draw jurors from only one or two government data sources, New Mexico's jury management system draws data from three sources: voter registration, personal income tax information, and the Motor Vehicle Division records. This results in official date of birth information for 95% of all jurors and precludes the need for a notarized affidavit for most persons.

AOC FY19 Deficiencies, FY20 Supplemental & FY21 Special Requests

FY19 Deficiency Request

Agency	Item	Amount	Included in HB2	Brief Description
None				

FY20 Supplemental Requests

Agency	Item	Amount	Included in HB2	Brief Description
1st JDC	Salary and Benefits	\$95,000		To fill 4 (four) vacant Judicial Specialist 2 positions in Magistrate Courts in Santa Fe and Espanola
1st JDC	Operating Expenses	\$80,000		To fund Telecommunications (\$43.1k), Postage (\$20k), Copier Leases (\$10.8k) and Postage Leases (\$4.1)
3rd JDC	Contractual Services	\$30,000		Increased funding needed due to renegotiation of the Security contract moving from security company to County Sheriff and increasing coverage for Magistrate courts
3rd JDC	Operating Expenses	\$30,807		To cover unfunded costs in consolidation including telecommunications, maintenance fees and Xerox and postage leases
8th JDC	Salary and Benefits	\$38,100		For unfunded Judicial Specialist position at Raton Magistrate Court
8th JDC	Operating Expenses	\$25,600		For telecommunications, Xerox and postage machine rental, high density filing system maintenance increases due to Magistrate Court consolidation
8th JDC	Contractual Services	\$600		Shredding Service
9th JDC	Operating Expenses	\$22,200		To cover unfunded costs in consolidation including telecommunications and HCM fees
10th JDC	Salary and Benefits	\$20,000		To cover unfunded salary expenses from consolidation; due to only 1 - 2 employees at each Mag Court they do not have vacancy savings
10th JDC	Operating Expenses	\$10,000		To cover unfunded costs in consolidation including telecommunications, maintenance fees and Xerox and postage leases
AOC	Lease and Furnishings	\$230,000		The AOC is currently located in various locations throughout Santa Fe. AOC spaces include space at the State Capital, Supreme Court Building and Statewide Automation / Judicial Information Division (JID) building. AOC would like to enter into a lease agreement to place all the functions, but for Statewide Automation, into one space to allow for streamlined services. The AOC would like to lease approximately 13,000 sf of office space in downtown Santa Fe. The lease space would allow the AOC to be within walking distance of the Supreme Court building and place all the AOC units under one roof. The request also includes money to furnish and equip the space. The current furnishings within spaces AOC uses belong to other agencies and AOC will need to furnish the space.
		\$ 582,307		

AOC FY19 Deficiencies, FY20 Supplemental & FY21 Special Requests

FY21 Special Requests

Agency	Item	Amount	Included in HB2	Brief Description
1st JDC	Speaker System	\$20,000		Purchase and install speaker system for jury assembly room in Santa Fe County Judicial Complex
1st JDC	Network Switches	\$100,000		Network switches for the First JDC in Santa Fe
1st JDC	Furniture and Equip for 13 FTE	\$57,200		Provide furniture, computer equipment and office supplies for newly acquired expansion positions
1st JDC	Monitor	\$31,600		Computer monitor / display replacements for 1st JDC in Santa Fe
1st JDC	VOIP	\$50,000		Install Voice Over IP phone system for Magistrate and District Courts
1st JDC	HR Scanning Project	\$19,200		To contract services of outside vendor to digitize human resource records for 1st JDC
1st JDC	VOIP	\$10,000		Upgrade voicemail system in 1st JDC
1st JDC	Vehicles	\$30,000		Purchase a vehicle to be used by Judges and employees of 1st JDC who travel throughout the state
2nd JDC	Equipment	\$386,100		To purchase and replace aging servers, storage devices, scanners, laptops, printers and software
2nd JDC	Equipment	\$36,000		Furniture and equipment for four (2) new Judgeships (8 FTEs)
2nd JDC	3 FTEs or Contractors	\$323,200		Fund three (3) FTEs or contractors to implement HB 370, The Criminal Record Expungement Act
3rd JDC	Vehicle	\$24,500		To provide transportation for court personnel who are required to attend meetings or trainings in ABQ.
4th JDC	Furniture, fixtures and equipment for Magistrate Courts	\$350,700		Furniture, fixtures and equipment for Magistrate Courts in San Miguel, Guadalupe and Mora Counties
5th JDC	Security and safety equipment for Magistrate Courts	\$321,400		To provide security and safety equipment by purchasing five (5) Magnetometers, six (6) X-ray machines, six (6) hand held metal detectors, seven (7) AEDs, and eleven (11) Ballistic Resistant Vests
6th JDC	Security and safety for Magistrate Courts	\$7,200		Four (4) walk through metal detectors
6th JDC	Equipment	\$6,000		Four (4) high capacity copy/scan/fax machines
8th JDC	Equipment	\$104,900		Equipment related to capital request to improve district and Magistrate Court co-location efficiencies and improve jury service facilities at the Taos County courthouse
8th JDC	Equipment	\$25,292		X-ray scanner, walk-through metal detector and three (3) hand held metal detectors
9th JDC	Refresh / upgrade IT equipment	\$55,300		Expand use and deploy technology to refresh/upgrade IT equipment; including new evidence presentation systems, replace software and hardware, new routers and monitor upgrades
11th JDC	FTR	\$88,300		Upgrade old, out of date versions of FTR Suite
11th JDC	New benches in Aztec and Farmington Magistrate Courts	\$180,000		Provide judges and staff with ergonomic and proper physical infrastructure to meet core purposes and responsibilities in a productive and efficient manner.
11th JDC	Technology	\$255,000		Upgrade aging and out of date courtroom technology
11th JDC	Furniture for Aztec, Farmington and Gallup	\$98,500		Replace outdated furniture systems and reconfigure work areas to accommodate safety, security and growth at District and Magistrate courts in Aztec, Farmington, and Gallup

AOC FY19 Deficiencies, FY20 Supplemental & FY21 Special Requests

11th JDC	Vehicles	\$ 64,500		Replace 15 year and 14 year old vehicles with high mileage
12th JDC	PolyCom, Whiteboard, and security equipment	\$105,600		Replace aging PolyComs, purchase digital whiteboard, server rack, two (2) metal detectors for Magistrate Courts and two (2) x-ray scanners for Magistrate Courts
Metro	Hardware and software for existing courtroom recording system	\$91,200		Hardware refresh of current audio recording system including primary and backup servers, audio and video encoders, archive head, disc publisher and rack mount peripherals
NMCC	NMOne Source Content	\$100,000		The addition of the NMAC and/or other related state content to the free public access site of official laws will complete the master database available to serve both the public and private sectors.
AOC	Cover AOC Lease for new location	\$564,400		AOC is seeking a Special Request of \$564,423.00 each year for seven years to enter into a lease agreement to house AOC offices, but for Statewide Automation, in downtown Santa Fe. The timeframe will allow AOC to begin working on a long range plan with the State to seek a permanent home for the AOC adjacent to the Supreme Court Building.
AOC	Special Court Services	\$400,000		Provide AOC funds to implement a statewide information management system for problem solving courts. Funding would cover initial development, training, technical support, and related implementation requirements for all New Mexico problem solving courts, including Drug Court reporting.
AOC	Online Dispute Resolution	\$450,000		With higher volume as case referrals scale up, it is expected that ODR for debt cases will be implemented statewide and that a new category of traffic penalty assessment cases will be piloted for ODR
AOC	Court Operations Division	\$441,500		Install electrical outlets and entrance improvements to allow for installation of x ray machines and metal detectors at various Magistrate Courts throughout state
AOC	Court Operations Division	\$320,500		Design, purchase and install wayfinding and signage for Magistrate courts across state to meet ADA requirements
AOC	Court Operations Division	\$80,000		Relocation and one time renovation expenses for relocating Grants Magistrate Court in Silver City to Grant County owned facilities until new facility is constructed
AOC	Court Operations Division	\$1,513,000		Purchase and install equipment, fixtures, furniture, and high density shelving at various magistrate courts throughout State of New Mexico
		\$6,711,092		

FY21 C-2 IT Special Requests

Agency	Item	Amount	Included in HB2	Brief Description
JID	4 Year Computer Refresh	\$574,240		Four year computer refresh cycle. This amount represents replacing 1/4 of our inventory in FY21.
JID	Server Warranty	\$126,600		All Production Virtual Hosts will be out of Warranty in March 2020. All non-production (development) servers are long out of warranty and one is already EOL. This will support all computing operations of the judiciary.
JID	Microsoft Word 2019	\$81,480		Four year software upgrade cycle. Need new license for each new PC
JID	PolyCom Camera Systems	\$150,000		Continued refresh of video equipment to various courts statewide.
JID	Peripheral Equipment	\$120,000		Continued refresh of video peripheral equipment to various courts statewide.
JID	Rewiring of sites	\$105,000		Continued refresh of video connectivity to various courts statewide.
		\$1,157,320		
TOTAL*		\$8,450,719		

* FY19 Deficiencies, FY20 Supplemental & FY21 Special Requests \$8,450,719

Judicial Branch FY 2021:

	FY 2019 OpBud		FY 2020 OpBud (Current Fiscal Year)			FY21 General Fund (GF) Request -				
	General Fund	Other State Funds	General Fund	Other State Funds	Total All Funds	Operational Increase Request	Total GF Operational Request	Operation % Change Over FY20	Operational Increase BC & CJC Approved	Judgeships BC & CJC Approved
Column Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6A	9A
Formula							3 + 6	7 / 3		
1 Statewide Units										
2 Supreme Court	6,196.6	1.5	6,379.4	1.5	6,380.9	-	6,379.4	0.0%	-	-
5 Court of Appeals	6,148.1	1.0	6,616.2	1.0	6,617.2	209.5	6,825.7	3.17%	209.5	-
6 Total Statewide Units	12,344.7	2.5	12,995.6	2.5	12,998.1	209.5	13,205.1	1.6%	209.5	-
7 AOC							-			
8 Admin Supp. Prg.	10,901.7	3,268.2	10,568.4	4,931.7	15,500.1	700.0	11,268.4	6.62%	130.0	-
9 Judicial Info Div (JID)	4,490.5	5,265.6	5,089.5	5,281.4	10,370.9	1,718.0	6,807.5	34%	1,718.0	-
10 Court Operations Div	29,626.7	3,834.5	10,291.6	3,872.3	14,163.9	1,381.1	11,672.7	13.42%	1,231.1	-
11 Special Svcs Prg.	10,216.8	1,747.4	11,470.0	2,739.1	14,209.1	1,994.3	13,464.3	17.39%	2,144.3	-
12 Total AOC	55,235.7	14,115.7	37,419.5	16,824.5	54,244.0	5,793.4	43,212.9	15.48%	5,223.4	-
13 District Courts							-			
14 First District	7,361.2	1,132.5	10,236.8	1,128.8	11,365.6	178.2	10,415.0	1.7%	188.1	329.2
15 Second District	23,887.9	4,830.0	25,509.9	5,069.9	30,579.8	172.0	25,681.9	0.7%	96.0	703.2
15 Third District	6,851.2	1,054.1	9,897.5	1,292.0	11,189.5	279.1	10,176.6	2.82%	218.1	380.0
16 Fourth District	2,445.2	191.5	3,867.4	194.2	4,061.6	302.8	4,170.2	7.83%	167.0	-
17 Fifth District	6,891.1	687.5	10,391.2	753.1	11,144.3	335.6	10,726.8	3.23%	287.5	-
18 Sixth District	3,367.1	278.1	5,320.9	290.8	5,611.7	84.9	5,405.8	1.60%	36.5	-
19 Seventh District	2,451.9	449.2	4,043.6	501.7	4,545.3	121.4	4,165.0	3.00%	121.4	-
20 Eighth District	3,135.0	310.3	4,588.5	196.6	4,785.1	145.6	4,734.1	3.17%	150.3	-
21 Ninth District	3,552.8	793.4	5,059.5	822.0	5,881.5	308.8	5,368.3	6.10%	201.9	-
22 Tenth District	980.0	44.8	1,772.6	-	1,772.6	68.5	1,841.1	3.9%	68.5	-
23 Eleventh District	6,685.7	877.4	10,376.8	1,001.6	11,378.4	621.9	10,998.7	5.99%	585.2	-
24 Twelfth District	3,545.3	253.1	5,093.5	255.1	5,348.6	245.1	5,338.6	4.81%	124.8	120.9
25 Thirteenth District	7,472.7	1,176.2	10,728.5	1,589.8	12,318.3	322.1	11,050.6	3.00%	321.5	-
26 Total District Courts	78,627.1	12,078.1	106,886.7	13,095.6	119,982.3	3,186.0	110,072.7	2.98%	2,566.8	1,533.3
27 Metropolitan Court	23,945.2	3,330.6	25,217.2	3,837.7	29,054.9	706.9	25,924.1	2.80%	442.5	-
28 Compilation Comm	-	1,871.5	568.0	1,000.0	1,568.0	-	568.0	0.00%	-	-
29 Judiciary Grand Total	170,152.7	31,398.4	183,087.0	34,760.3	217,847.3	9,895.8	192,982.8	5.40%	8,442.2	1,533.3

Unified Budget Request Summary

Post Budget Hearings, BC, Called Budget Comm Meeting, CJC and SC FINAL

Expansions BC & CJC Approved	Security BC & CJC Approved	FTEs Approved - Magistrate	FTEs Approved - Mag Security	FTEs Approved - All Other	Rates & Health Insurance (1%)	Workforce Investment Plan (WIP) Estimated	Total Request	Total Request BC & CJC Approved	Total Request % Change Over FY20	Total Request % Change over BC & CJC Approved
10A	11	12A	12B	12C	13	14	15	15A	16	17
						From HR			13 / 3	13 A / 3
187.5	-	0	0	3	32.5	-	6,651.3	6,599.4	4.26%	3.45%
-	-	0	0	0	-	-	7,134.7	6,825.7	7.84%	3.17%
187.5	-	0	0	3	32.5	-	13,786.0	13,425.1	6.08%	6.62%
							-			
361.8	-	0	0	2	15.7	5.50	11,451.4	11,081.4	8.36%	4.85%
200.0	-	0	0	2	13.7	-	7,021.2	7,021.2	37.95%	37.95%
100.0	-	0	0	1	1.4	-	11,969.2	11,624.1	16.30%	12.95%
-	-	0	0	1.5	6.3	-	13,720.6	13,620.6	19.62%	18.75%
661.8	-	0	0	6.5	37.1	5.50	44,162.4	43,347.3	18.02%	15.84%
							-	-		
45.5	125.0	2	0	6	31.1	11.00	12,272.8	10,966.7	19.89%	7.13%
551.4	-	0	0	14	39.7	33.60	30,917.3	26,933.8	21.20%	5.58%
-	30.0	1	0	5	(81.1)	16.60	10,661.2	10,461.1	7.72%	5.69%
50.0	192.0	0	2.5	1	22.2	0.78	4,310.2	4,299.4	11.45%	11.17%
312.1	300.0	0	1.5	4	43.9	1.20	12,184.0	11,335.9	17.25%	9.09%
259.4	250.0	2	4	3	68.1	3.20	5,736.5	5,938.1	7.81%	11.60%
-	200.0	0	3.5	0	20.4	1.20	4,186.6	4,386.6	3.54%	8.48%
124.6	100.0	0.00	1.5	1.50	36.6	0.72	5,952.0	5,000.7	29.72%	8.98%
65.5	119.3	0	3	1	15.0	3.00	6,540.8	5,464.2	29.28%	8.00%
-	150.0	0	0	0	-	2.80	2,331.9	1,993.9	31.55%	12.48%
254.8	300.0	0	3	4	6.5	1.20	11,561.2	11,524.5	11.41%	11.06%
217.7	125.0	1	1	5	22.9	14.10	5,722.2	5,718.9	12.34%	12.28%
324.6	325.0	0	0	5	1.6	-	11,504.8	11,701.2	7.24%	9.07%
2,205.6	2,216.3	6.00	20.0	49.50	226.9	89.40	123,881.5	115,725.0	15.90%	8.27%
-	507.0	0	0	0	-	24.10	26,790.5	26,190.8	6.24%	3.86%
-	-	0	0	0	-	-	568.0	568.0	0.00%	0.00%
3,054.9	2,723.3	6.00	20.00	59.00	296.5	119.00	208,772.9	199,256.2	14.03%	8.83%

Difference between FY20 appropriations and FY21 Requests: \$16,169,200

Administrative Office of the Courts:

		FY 2019 OpBud				FY 2020 OpBud (Current Fiscal Year)		
		General Fund	Other State Funds	General Fund	Other State Funds	Total All Funds	Operational Increase Request	Total GF Operational Request
Column Number	Formula	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
							3 + 6	
1	Administration	4,570.9	-	5,427.2		5,427.2	700.0	6,127.2
2	Jud Perf Eval	297.6	-	299.2	163.5	462.7	-	299.2
3	Tribal Consort	28.5	-	29.2	-	29.2	-	29.2
4	Jury & Witness	2,262.6	2,025.0	1,259.9	2,025.0	3,284.9	-	1,259.9
5	Interpreter/LAS	3,742.1	100.0	3,552.9	100.0	3,652.9	-	3,552.9
6	Federal Grants	-	1,143.2	-	2,643.2	2,643.2	-	-
7	Admin. Supp. Prg. (P559)	10,901.7	3,268.2	10,568.4	4,931.7	15,500.1	700.0	11,268.4
8	Jud Information Division	4,490.5	-	5,089.5	-	5,089.5	1,718.0	6,807.5
9	JID SCAF	-	3,473.7	-	3,482.9	3,482.9	-	-
10	JID ODYSSEY	-	630.0	-	630.0	630.0	-	-
11	JID Photo Enhance	-	191.6	-	191.6	191.6	-	-
12	JID Municipal Fund	-	970.3	-	976.9	976.9	-	-
13	Statewide Auto Prg. (P560)	4,490.5	5,265.6	5,089.5	5,281.4	10,370.9	1,718.0	6,807.5
14	Court Operations	29,626.7	-	10,291.6	-	10,291.6	1,381.1	11,672.7
15	Mag Warrant Enf	-	3,437.3	-	3,437.3	3,437.3	-	-
16	Mag Mediation	-	35.0	-	85.0	85.0	-	-
17	Drug Court	-	362.2	-	350.0	350.0	-	-
18	Court Operations Div (P610)	29,626.7	3,834.5	10,291.6	3,872.3	14,163.9	1,381.1	11,672.7
19	AOC CASA	1,356.7	-	1,356.7		1,356.7		1,356.7
20	AOC SESV	882.8	-	884.4		884.4	-	884.8
21	AOC Water Rights	-	447.4		643.5	643.5	-	-
22	CAAF - Court Appt Attorney	6,044.2	-	6,470.3		6,470.3	300.0	6,770.3
23	Child Mediation	281.9	-	286.3		286.3	-	286.3
24	Judge Pro-Temp	30.3	-	30.3		30.3	-	30.3
25	Access to Justice	129.7	-	133.8		133.8	-	133.8
26	Drug Courts Statewide & AOC)	1,487.9	1,300.0	1,491.4	2,095.6	3,587.0	-	1,491.4
27	Statewide ADR	3.3	-	103.3		103.3	-	103.3
28	Statewide Pretrial Services (Guardianship)	-	-	713.5	-	713.5	1,694.3	1,487.9
29	Special Srvcs Prg. (P620)	10,216.8	1,747.4	11,470.0	2,739.1	14,209.1	1,994.3	12,544.8
30	Total AOC	55,235.7	14,115.7	37,419.5	16,824.5	54,244.0	5,793.4	42,293.4

Detailed Budget Request

Administrative Office of the Courts — FY21 General Fund (GF) Request — Post Budget Hearings, BC, Called Budget Committee Meeting and CJC FINAL

Operation % Change Over FY19	Operational Increase BC, CJC, SC Approved	Expansions BC, CJC, SC Approved	Rates & Health Insurance (3%)	Workforce Investment Plan (WIP)	Total Request	Total Request BC, CJC, SC Approved	Total Request % Change Over FY20	Total Request % Change BC, CJC, SC Approved
8	6A	9A	10	11	12	12A	13	13A
7 / 3				From HR			12 / 3	12A / 3
12.9%	130.0	361.8	13.2	5.5	6,289.0	5,937.7	15.88%	9%
0.0%	-	-	0.1	-	299.3	299.3	0.03%	
0.0%	-	-	-	-	29.2	29.2	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	1.0	-	1,260.9	1,260.9	0.08%	
0.0%	-	-	1.4	-	3,554.3	3,554.3	0.04%	
0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%	
6.6%	130.0	361.8	15.7	5.5	11,432.7	11,081.4	13.7	9%
33.8%	1,718.0	200.0	13.7		7,021.2	7,021.2	37.95%	37.95%
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
33.8%	1,718.0	200.0	13.7		7,021.2	7,021.2	37.95%	37.95%
0.0%	1,231.1	100.0	1.4		11,969.2	11,624.1	16.30%	12.95%
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
13.4%	1,231.1	100.0	1.4		11,969.2	11,624.1	16.30%	12.95%
0.0%	50.0		-		1,406.7	1,406.7	3.69%	3.69%
0.0%	-	-	-		884.4	884.4	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	-		-	-	0.00%	
0.0%	300.0	-	0.5		6,770.8	6,770.8	4.64%	4.64%
0.0%	-	-	0.3		286.6	286.6	0.10%	
0.0%	-	-	-		30.3	30.3	0.00%	
0.0%	-	-	0.2		134.0	134.0	0.15%	
0.0%	-	-	0.3		1,491.7	1,491.7	0.02%	
0.0%	-	-			103.3	103.3	0.00%	
0.0%	1,794.3		5.0		2,612.8	2,512.8	266.19%	252.18%
9.4%	2,144.3	-	6.3		13,720.6	13,620.6	19.62%	18.75%
13.0%	5,223.4	661.8	37.1	5.5	44,143.7	43,347.3	17.97%	15.84%

Consolidation of Magistrate Courts

New Mexico has 94 district judges serving in thirteen judicial districts. Located in twelve of the districts are 67 magistrate judges and 276 staff in 32 counties at 46 locations. Before FY20, the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) in Santa Fe directly managed magistrate courts independent of the district courts.

- AOC certified judges as qualified and arranged for judge and staff training.
- AOC oversaw day-to-day operations in magistrate courts.
- AOC was responsible for hiring, discipline, termination, promotion, and all other human resources actions for all 289 authorized magistrate court employees.
- AOC made all purchases of supplies and equipment, approved all travel by judges and staff, and coordinated schedules among the courts.

The “consolidation” plan approved by the New Mexico Supreme Court transferred administration of magistrate courts to district courts. The local Chief Judge along with district court staff now provide

administrative oversight of magistrate courts in the counties that make up the district. AOC continues to lease magistrate facilities statewide, provide a central magistrate court attorney to give legal advice to magistrate judges, perform internal audits of magistrate courts, and manage central collections and warrant activities.

- Consolidation did not require any immediate statutory changes.
- \$20,255,700 in FY 2019 funding was removed from the AOC budget and distributed to district courts for magistrate court personnel and operations during FY 2020.
- New magistrate funds requested for FY 2020 went to district courts to fill vacancies and replace fee-funding of some employees with general funds (\$1,050,000), to fund the Workforce Investment Plan for employees (\$83,000), and to fund rate and health insurance increases (\$218,300).
- AOC retained \$9,325,100 of FY 2019 funding for magistrate facility leases and 4 AOC magistrate court FTE.



Mora County Complex



Rachael Monarch, Robert Padilla and Chief Judge Sandra Engle of the Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court



Online Dispute Resolution Training at the Second Judicial District



Luis Campos and Cynthia Pacheco at a budget hearing



Z Team at Behavioral Health Institute



Administrative Office of the Courts staff hosted professionals from the Philippines with the International Visitor Leadership Program – “Sustaining Drug Demand Reduction Projects - A Project for the Philippines”.



AOC Deputy Director Karl Brooks listens as Drug Court graduate tells success story



Chief Judges Louis McDonald and Stan Whitaker with staff

New Mexico State Courts



Supreme Court
Santa Fe
Court Of Appeals
Santa Fe & Albuquerque

- ★ District & Magistrate Courts
- ★ District Courts
- ▲ Bernalillo County Metropolitan Court
- Magistrate Full Courts
- Magistrate Circuit Courts

Judicial District Courts by County:

1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th
Los Alamos	Bernalillo	Doña Ana	Guadalupe	Chaves	Grant	Catron
Rio Arriba			Mora	Eddy	Luna	Sierra
Santa Fe			San Miguel	Lea	Hidalgo	Socorro Torrance

8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	13th
Colfax	Curry	De Baca	McKinley	Lincoln	Cibola
Taos	Roosevelt	Harding	San Juan	Otero	Sandoval
Union		Quay			Valencia



*Administrative Office of the Courts
237 Don Gaspar, Room 25
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
505-827-4800*

24 Jan 20